Equal Justice Foundation

2018 Colorado Judicial Performance Evaluations

EJF Evaluations Based On Attorney Judgments

2018 Jud	2018 EJF					
	Attorne		orney Votes		rior Rating	Evaluation Based on
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain		Attorney % Retain	Attorney Votes
	Col	orado Su	preme C	ourt		
Richard L. Gabriel	Meets Standards	98%	2%	Not or	bench	Retain
	Colo	orado Cou	urt of Ap	peals		
CoA Judges				Prior	2010	2018
John Daniel Dailey	Meets Standards	79%	3%	84%	No Opinion	Marginal
Rebecca Rankin Freyre	Meets Standards	82%	9%	Not or	bench	Marginal
Elizabeth L. Harris	Meets Standards	65%	12%	Not or	bench	Do Not Retain
David J. Richman	Meets Standards	88%	12%	65%	No Opinion	Retain
	First Judicial I	District Ju	udges (G	ilpin,	Jefferson)	
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018
Christie A. Bachmeyer	Meets Standards	79%	15%	90%	Retain	Marginal
Diego G. Hunt	Meets Standards	89%	4%	Not or	bench	Retain
Laura A. Tighe	Meets Standards	53%	44%	Not or	bench	Do Not Retain
Gilpin County		•	•	Prior	2014	2018
David C. Taylor	Meets Standards	Insufficien	t data	Not or	bench	No Opinion
Jefferson County					2014	2018
Susan Lori Fisch	Meets Standards	95%	0%	85%	Marginal	Retain
Mark Marrow Randall	Meets Standards	87%	N/A	70%	Do Not Retain	Retain
Ryan James Stuart	Meets Standards	91%	6%	79%	Marginal	Retain
Thomas Vance	Meets Standards	90%	3%	89%	Retain	Retain
Jean Woodford	Meets Standards	79%	N/A	71%	Do Not Retain	Marginal

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years. Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF						
		Attorne	y Votes	Prior Rating		Evaluation Based on	
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain		Attorney % Retain	Attorney Votes	
9	Second Judicial District Judges (Denver County)						
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018	
Shelley I. Gilman	Meets Standards	90%	5%	93%	Retain	Retain	
David H. Goldberg	Meets Standards	94%	6%	Not on	bench	Retain	
Jay Sutherland Grant	Meets Standards	95%	5%	Not on	bench	Retain	
Morris B. Hoffman	Meets Standards	87%	10%	92%	Retain	Retain	
Kenneth Martin Laff	Meets Standards	87%	13%	81%	Marginal	Retain	
Catherine A. Lemon	Meets Standards	67%	24%	88%	Retain	Do Not Retain	
Jennifer B. Torrington	Meets Standards	70%	20%	Not on	bench	Do Not Retain	
Denver County Judges				Prior	2014	2018	
Johnny C. Barajas	Meets Standards	88%	12%	80%	Marginal	Questionable ethics	
Brian Thomas Campbell	Meets Standards	97%	0%	81%	Marginal	Retain	
Andrea Eddy	Meets Standards	92%	0%	Not on	bench	Retain	
Adam J. Espinosa	Meets Standards	82%	9%	Not on	bench	Marginal	
Olympia Z. Fay	Meets Standards	90%	4%	Not on	bench	Retain	
Clarisse M. Gonzales	Meets Standards	82%	18%	93%	Retain	Marginal	
Kerri Lombardi	Meets Standards	79%	18%	Not on	bench	Marginal	
Chelsea Malone	Meets Standards	Insufficien	t data	Not on	bench	No Opinion	
Thi	rd Judicial Dist	trict Jud	ges (Hue	rfano	, Las Animas)		
No District or County Co	urt Judges standin	g for retent	tion.				
	Fourth Judicial	l District	Judges (El Pa	so, Teller)		
District Judges				Prior 2012		2018	
Eric Bentley	Meets Standards	86%	11%	Not on	bench	Retain	
Linda M. Billings-Vela	Meets Standards	94%	N/A	89%	Retain	Retain	
Jill M. Brady	Meets Standards	84%	N/A	Not on bench		Marginal	
Robert L. Lowrey	Meets Standards	100%	0%	96%	Retain	Retain	
Timothy Schutz	Meets Standards	79%	13%	85%	Marginal	Marginal	
Larry Edward Schwartz	Meets Standards	92%	8%	95%	Retain	Retain	
Scott A. Sells	Meets Standards	81%	10%	94%	Retain	Marginal	

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years.

Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018 Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF								
		Attorne	ey Votes	P	rior Rating	Evaluation Based on			
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain	Attorney % Retain		Attorney Votes			
Fourth Judicial District Judges (continued)									
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018			
David L. Shakes	Meets Standards	79%	10%	89%	Retain	Marginal			
El Paso County Judges	s			Prior	2014	2018			
Christopher Ed Acker	Does NOT Meets Standards	62%	N/A	64%	Do Not Retain	Do Not Retain			
Lawrence D. Martin	Meets Standards	90%	10%	87%	Retain	Retain			
Douglas J. Miles	Meets Standards	86%	N/A	83%	Marginal	Retain			
Ann M. Rotolo	Meets Standards	73%	14%	70%	Do Not Retain	Marginal			
Teller County Judge	1			Prior	2014	2018			
Theresa L. Kilgore	Meets Standards	64%	36%	Not or	n bench	Do Not Retain			
Fifth Juc	licial District Ju	udges (C	lear Cree	ek, Ea	gle, Lake, Su	mmit)			
No District Court or Cou	nty Court Judges s	tanding for	retention.						
Sixth J	udicial District	Judges (Archule	ta, La	Plata, San Ju	ian)			
No District Court Judges	s standing for reten	tion.							
Archuleta County Jud	ge			Prior 2014		2018			
Justin P. Fay	Meets Standards	86%	0%	Not o	n bench	Retain			
No county court judges	are standing for ret	ention in L	a Plata or S	San Jua	an Counties	•			
Seventh Judicial	Seventh Judicial District Judges (Delta, Gunnison, Hinsdale, Montrose, Ouray, San Miguel)								
District Judges				Prior	· 2012	2018			
Steven L. Schultz	Meets Standards	95%	5%	Not or	n bench	Retain			
Keri A. Yoder	Meets Standards	87%	9%	Not or	n bench	Retain			
Montrose County Judg	ge			Prior	2014	2018			
Ben A Morris	Meets Standards	77%	23%	62%	Do Not Retain	Marginal			
San Miguel County Ju	dge			Prior 2014		2018			
Susanne J. Ross	Meets Standards	33%	17%	Not or	n bench	Do Not Retain			
No county court judges standing for retention in Delta, Gunnison, Hinsdale, or Ouray counties									

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years. Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF								
		Attorne	y Votes	Р	rior Rating	Evaluation Based on			
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain		Attorney % Retain	Attorney Votes			
Eighth Judicial District Judges (Jackson, Larimer)									
No District Court Judges	standing for reten	tion.							
Jackson County Judge				Prior	2014	2018			
Cindy Wilson	Meets Standards	100%	0%	80%	Marginal	Retain			
Larimer County Judge	es			Prior	2014	2018			
Thomas L. Lynch	Meets Standards	92%	8%	92%	Retain	Retain			
Peter E. Schoon Jr.	Meets Standards	71%	21%	79%	Marginal	Do Not Retain			
Ninth	Judicial Distric	t Judges	(Garfiel	d, Pit	kin, Rio Blan	co)			
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018			
James Berkley Boyd	Meets Standards	84%	9%	94%	Retain	Marginal			
Anne K. Norrdin	Meets Standards	83%	6%	Not on	bench	Marginal			
Christopher G. Seldin	Meets Standards	100%	0%	Not on	bench	Retain			
Garfield County Judge	es	1	l	Prior	2014	2018			
Paul H. Metzger	Meets Standards	100%	0%	96%	Retain	Retain			
Jonathan B. Pototsky	Meets Standards	100%	0%	94%	Retain	Retain			
Pitkin County Judge				Prior 2014		2018			
Erin Fernandez-Ely	Meets Standards	100%	0%	91%	Retain	Retain			
Rio Blanco County Jud	dge	1	•	Prior 2014		2018			
Laurie A. Noble	Meets Standards	100%	0%	88%	Retain	Retain			
	Tenth Judi	cial Dist	rict Judg	es (Pu	ieblo)				
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018			
Deborah Eyler	Meets Standards	84%	16%	90%	Retain	Marginal			
Kim Karn	Meets Standards	93%	7%	Not on	bench	Retain			
Pueblo County Judges					2014	2018			
Steven B. Fieldman	Meets Standards	97%	3%	84%	Marginal	Retain			
David Lee Lobato	Meets Standards	87%	7%	94%	Retain	Retain			

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years. Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF						
		Attorne	y Votes	Р	rior Rating	Evaluation Based on	
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain	Attorney % Retain		Attorney Votes	
Eleventh	Judicial Distric	t Judges	(Chaffee	e, Cus	ter, Fremont,	Park)	
District Court judges				Prior	2012	2018	
Ramsey Lama	Meets Standards	84%	11%	Not or	n bench	Marginal	
Lynette M. Wenner	Meets Standards	71%	21%	Not or	n bench	Sub-Marginal	
Custer County Judge				Prior	2014	2018	
Amanda Jean Hunter	Meets Standards	67%	33%	Not or	bench	Do Not Retain	
Park County Judge		1	1	Prior	2014	2018	
Brian L. Green	Meets Standards	70%	20%	84%	Marginal	Do Not Retain	
No county court judges	standing for retenti	on in Chaff	ee or Frem	ont co	unties		
Twelfth Judicial District Judges (Alamosa, Conejos, Costilla, Mineral, Rio Grande, Saguache)							
District Judge				Prior 2012		2018	
Pattie P. Swift	Meets Standard	100%	0%	83%	Marginal	Retain	
Alamosa County Judge	e			Prior 2014		2018	
Daniel A. Walzl	Meets Standards	80%	13%	69%	Do Not Retain	Marginal ?	
Costilla County Judge				Prior 2014		2018	
Kimberly L. Wood	Meets Standards	71%	18%	52%	Do Not Retain	Sub-Marginal	
Rio Grande County Ju	dge			Prior	2014	2018	
Barbara A. Zollars	Meets Standards	88%	6%	Not or	bench	Retain	
Saguache County Judg	ge			Prior 2014		2018	
Anna Ulrich	Meets Standards	67%	33%	Not or	bench	Do Not Retain	
Thirteenth Judicia	l District Judge	es (Kit C	arson, L	ogan,	Morgan, Phil	lips, Sedgwick,	
Washington, Yuma)							
District Judges			Prior 2012		2018		
Charles M. Hobbs	Meets Standards	83%	8%	95%	Retain	Marginal	
Carl S. McGuire, III	Meets Standards	94%	0%	96%	Retain	Retain	
Michael K. Singer	Meets Standards	91%	9%	86%	Retain	Retain	

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain.

All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years.

Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF								
		Attorney Votes		Prior Rating		Evaluation Based on			
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain		Attorney % Retain	Attorney Votes			
Thi	Thirteenth Judicial District County Judges (continued)								
Kit Carson County Ju-	dge			Prior	2014	2018			
Michael K. Grinnan	Meets Standards	94%	6%	94%	Retain	Retain			
Washington County Ju	ıdge			Prior	2014	2018			
Kelly S. Hansen	Meets Standards	Only two v	otes	Not on	bench	Insufficient data			
No county court judges	standing for retenti	on in Loga	n, Morgan,	Phillips	s, Sedgwick, or Y	uma counties			
Fourt	teenth Judicial	District J	udges (C	Grand	, Moffat, Rou	tt)			
District Judge				Prior	2012	2018			
Michael A. O'Hara III	Meets Standards	67%	19%	85%	Marginal	Do Not Retain			
Grand County Judge	1		l	Prior	2014	2018			
Nicholas Catanzarite	Meets Standards	70%	30%	Not on	bench	Do Not Retain			
Moffat County Judge				Prior	2014	2018			
Sandra H. Gardner	Meets Standards	79%	11%	93%	Retain	Marginal			
Routt County Judge				Prior	2014	2018			
James H. Garrecht	Meets Standards	85%	5%	92%	Retain	Marginal			
Fifteenth .	Judicial District	Judges	(Baca, C	heyen	ne, Kiowa, Pi	owers)			
District Judge				Prior 2012		2018			
Michael Davidson	Meets Standards	86%	N/A	Not on	bench	Retain			
Baca County Judge	L	1		Prior	2014	2018			
Debra M. Gunkel	Meets Standards	33%	33%	91%	Retain	Do Not Retain			
Cheyenne County Jud	ge	l	<u> </u>	Prior	2014	2018			
Gerald Keefe	Meets Standards	100%	0%	100%	Retain	Retain			
Kiowa County Judge	ı	l	L	Prior	2014	2018			
Gary W. Davis	Meets Standards	50%	50%	67%	Do Not Retain	Do Not Retain			
Prowers County Judge		Prior	2014	2018					
Curtis Lane Porter	Meets Standards	100%	0%	Not on	bench	Retain			

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years.

Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Ju	2018 EJF					
		Attorney Votes		Р	rior Rating	Evaluation Based on
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain	Attorney % Retain		Attorney Votes
Six)					
No District Court Judge						
Otero County Judge				Prior	2014	2018
Douglas R. Manley	Meets Standards	86%	9%	82%	Marginal	Retain
No county judges seek	ing retention in Ben	t and Crowl	ey Countie	s		
Seve	enteenth Judicia	l District	Judges	(Adan	ns, Broomfiel	d)
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018
Jaclyn Brown	Meets Standards	97%	3%	Not or	bench	Retain
Sharon D. Holbrook	Meets Standards	100%	0%	Not or	bench	Retain
Edward C. Moss	Meets Standards	76%	21%	83%	Marginal	Marginal
Donald S. Quick	Meets Standards	76%	16%	Not or	bench	Marginal
Adams County Judge	es			Prior	2014	2018
Brian N. Bowen	Meets Standards	73%	16%	65%	Do Not Retain	Marginal
Michael A. Cox	Meets Standards	80%	20%	93%	Retain	Marginal
Cindy Dang	Meets Standards	19%	65%	Not or	bench	Do Not retain
Brian L. Howell	Meets Standards	66%	26%	58%	Do Not Retain	Do Not Retain
Sabino E. Romano	Meets Standards	96%	4%	97%	Retain	Retain
Dianna L. Roybal	Meets Standards	74%	11%	44%	Do Not Retain	Sub-Marginal
Broomfield County J	udge			Prior	2014	2018
Amy E. Bockman	Meets Standards	73%	18%	65%	Do Not Retain	Sub-Marginal
Eighteenth	Judicial District	Judges	(Arapaho	oe, Do	uglas, Elbert,	Lincoln)
District Court Judges	S			Prior	2012	2018
Phillip L. Douglass	Does NOT Meet Standards	44%	44%	Not or	bench	Do Not Retain
Patricia Herron	Meets Standards	85%	8%	Not on bench		Marginal
Gary M. Kramer	Meets Standards	85%	13%	Not or	bench	Marginal
Ben L. Leutwyler III	Meets Standards	92%	0%	Not or	bench	Retain
Robert R. Lung	Meets Standards	88%	6%	N/A	Promoted 2016	Retain
Bonnie H. McLean	Meets Standards	85%	8%	Not or	bench	Marginal

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years.

Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF					
		Attorne	y Votes	Prior Rating		Evaluation Based on
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain		Attorney % Retain	Attorney Votes
	_					
District Court Judges				Prior	2012	2018
Peter F. Michaelson	Meets Standards	83%	10%	89%	Retain	Marginal
Michael Spear	Meets Standards	80%	13%	67%	Do Not Retain	Marginal
Shay K. Whitaker	Meets Standards	85%	4%	Not on	bench	Marginal
Arapahoe County Judg	ges (18th Judicial	District)		Prior	2014	2018
Colleen Clark	Meets Standards	63%	38%	Not on	bench	Do Not Retain
Kelly A. LaFave	Meets Standards	53%	40%	Not on	bench	Do Not Retain
Michael J. Roche	Meets Standards	67%	24%	Not on	bench	Do Not Retain
Cheryl Rowles-Stokes	Meets Standards	45%	45%	33%	Do Not Retain	Do Not Retain
Douglas County Judge	S	1		Prior 2014		2018
Lawrence Bowling	Meets Standards	96%	4%	89%	Retain	Retain
Monica J. Gomez	Meets Standards	86%	9%	71%	Do Not Retain	Retain
Susanna Meissner-Cutler	Meets Standards	89%	6%	90%	Retain	Retain
Elbert County Judge			ı	Prior 2014		2018
Palmer L. Boyette	Meets Standards	93%	0%	86%	Retain	Retain
Lincoln County Judge			1	Prior 2014		2018
Truston Lee Fisher	Meets Standards	Not given		23%	Do Not Retain	Insufficient data
	Nineteenth J	Judicial I	District J	udges	(Weld)	
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018
James F. Hartmann	Meets Standards	95%	2%	96%	Retain	Retain
Todd L. Taylor	Meets Standards	87%	13%	93%	Retain	Retain
Weld County Judges		<u> </u>	l	Prior	2014	2018
John J. Briggs	Meets Standards	88%	13%	77%	Marginal	Retain
Michele L. Meyer	Meets Standards	92%	8%	76%	Marginal	Retain
Dana Nichols	Meets Standards	82%	18%	62%	Do Not Retain	Marginal
Charles S. Unfug	Meets Standards	78%	22%	72%	Do Not Retain	Marginal

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years. Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

2018 Jud	2018 EJF						
	Attorn		Attorney Votes		rior Rating	Evaluation Based on	
Judge	Finding	Retain	Do Not Retain	Attorney % Retain		Attorney Votes	
	Twentieth Ju	dicial Dis	strict Juc	iges (Boulder)		
District Judges				Prior	2012	2018	
Thomas Francis Mulvahill	Meets Standards	77%	N/A	91%	Retain	Marginal	
Norma A. Sierra	Meets Standards	76%	N/A	70%	Do Not Retain	Marginal	
Boulder County Judge	S			Prior	2014	2018	
David Archuleta	Meets Standards	80%	N/A	89%	Retain	Marginal	
Elizabeth Brodsky	Meets Standards	89%	N/A	Not or	bench	Retain	
	Twenty-First	Judicial	District .	Judge	s (Mesa)		
District Court Judge				Prior	2012	2018	
Lance P. Tembreza	Meets Standards	94%	N/A	Not or	bench	Retain	
Mesa County Judge		1		Prior 2014		2018	
Bruce R. Raaum	Meets Standards	73%	N/A	71%	Do Not Retain	Sub-Marginal	
Twenty	-Second Judici	al Distric	t Judges	(Dolo	ores, Montezu	ma)	
District Court Judge				Prior	2012	2018	
Todd J. Plewe	Meets Standards	88%	N/A	92%	Retain	Retain	
Montezuma County Judge Prior 2014						2018	
JenniLynn Lawrence	Meets Standards	71%	N/A	76%	Marginal	Sub-Marginal	
No county court judges	standing for retenti	on in Doloi	res County		1	-	
C'4 ' D 4 ' 5000/ C 44							

Criteria: Retain >85% of attorneys, Marginal 71-85% of attorneys, Do Not Retain <=70% of attorneys Do Not Retain. All judges first stand for retention two years after their appointment or promotion.

Retention intervals: Supreme Court — 10 years; Court of Appeals — 8 years; District — 6 years; County — 4 years. Copies of this report are available at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018 Judicial Performance Evaluations.pdf.

Notes

The table above is intended as brief reference to help voters decide how to vote on state judges in their judicial district who are standing for retention in November 2018.

Additional copies of this report are available on the web at http://dvmen.org/PDF/2018_Judicial
Performance Evaluations.pdf.

Our objective with these reports is to support the many outstanding judges who serve Colorado citizens while helping voters decide to eliminate those who are unfit for the position they hold. The basic assumption we've made is that the prosecutors, defense, and civil litigation attorneys know the judges in their judicial district best. They are the ones who deal with the judges on a daily basis and have the education and experience to judge the judges. As a result, the **Equal Justice Foundation** evaluation is based entirely on how these attorneys voted on a particular judge; a simple and easily understood metric that is reasonably consistent across the state. In essence, attorneys are a jury of the judge's peers.

The official <u>judicial performance commission evaluations</u> for state judges dates back to 1990 and they have been posting percentages of attorney votes for and against a judge since 2000. The commissions collect more data than just attorney votes but seldom rule against judges. In 2018, of 128 judges standing for retention, the political appointees of the various commissions only ruled that two of the state's judges did not meet acceptable performance standards. Note that magistrates serve at the pleasure of the chief judge of the judicial district and are not considered state judges and; thus, are not evaluated here.

However, as a general rule, in any large organization it is reasonable to expect that roughly 10% of the individuals in the organization will be incompetent, drunkards, mentally or physically unfit, crooks, or otherwise unsuitable. Of course, sex always rears its lovely head, but copulating with the prosecutor in the judge's chambers, or trying to make a date from the bench with the cutie standing before the bar does exceed the limits of propriety. On the EJF web site in the **Colorado Judges — Citizen's Review** chapter we have documented all of those behaviors, and more, by one or more judges. Further, politics, religion, and ideology have no place in a fair and impartial courtroom and a judge's ruling must not be based on emotions and feelings.

If you would like to go sit in a judge's courtroom and form your own opinion we have <u>courtwatcher</u> <u>forms</u> available that should be of some assistance to you in that endeavor. We link appropriately completed courtwatcher forms to the judge's name on our <u>web site</u>.

The Equal Justice Foundation has been producing these biennial evaluations of Colorado judges since 2012. Thus, it is possible to compare the performance of judges standing for retention in 2018 with their prior evaluations, as has been done in the above table. Of the 42 district judges who stood for retention in 2012, only 21 are standing for retention again. Of the 76 county court judges who stood for retention in 2014, only 53 are standing for retention again in 2018. The others have retired, stepped down, or been removed from the bench for other reasons, as we have attempted to document. Thus, 21 district judge and 23 county judge positions have opened up in the past four to six years. But that count is incomplete and we find 46 judges now standing for retention who were promoted to a state court bench in the past two or three years and are now standing for retention for the first time; a small and not surprising difference.

Of more concern is the large number of judges we do not think should be retained based on attorney appraisals and past history. If we had used the same standards as in 2014, over 40 of the 128 judges would have been found unfit. Even lowering our Do Not Retain standard by 5%, we still suggest that 23 judges who are standing for retention should be voted off the bench. Of the 128 total we also suggest that the performance of an additional 41 judges is marginal at best. We express particular concern for those judges whose past performance was marginal at their previous review and attorneys now rate their performance as worsening, as documented in the table above.

However, the final decision is in the hands of the voters and we hope this document is a useful tool for helping them decide which jurists they want to keep on the bench.

Support

We would be amiss if we did not conclude with a plea for support for our work. The <u>Equal Justice</u> <u>Foundation</u> is a non-profit 501(c)(3) public charity and contributions are tax deductible. It should be obvious that there are many costs to doing research like this on a continuing basis. However, **the Foundation has no paid employees** so all of your support goes into helping with the research and dissemination of same. We note with pride that during 2017 the research and stories on our web sites was accessed by an average of 2,400 unique visitors a day by people in every state and at least 180 other countries. Our work is also commonly reposted on other sites all around the world as well.

It would be greatly appreciated if you would donate whatever you can **online** or by sending a check to the address below. If interested in helping with our work, or joining the Foundation, that can be done **online** as well, or by printing and filling out the form **available here** and mailing it to the address below together with a check or money order for your dues. Dues are just \$25/year, which are not onerous, and there is strength in numbers.